



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

P3

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/692,435 10/19/00 OLI IJNYK

M BRI-00027

EXAMINER

MM91/0726

WARN IP LAW OFFICE  
P O BOX 70098  
ROCHESTER HLLS MI 48307

BORTINSON, M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2872

DATE MAILED:

07/26/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/692,435	OLIJNYK ET AL.
	Examiner Mark A. Robinson	Art Unit 2872

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 July 2001.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-5,7-9 and 11-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,6 and 10 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

#### Attachment(s)

- 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                    18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                    19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_.                    20) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group VI in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Claims 1, 6 and 10 read on the elected invention and will be examined on the merits as follows. Claims 2-5, 7-9 and 11-19 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that the searches for the various groups overlap and so there is no undue burden. This is not found persuasive because the objective standards of burden concerning search and examination set forth in the MPEP have been met. The examination of such diverse features as antenna mounts, spot lights, storage cavities, etc. requires consideration of each distinct feature in addition to searches spanning numerous classes.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

***Claim Objections***

2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the attachment member" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2872

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boddy.

Boddy shows an exterior mirror assembly including a mirror housing assembly(10) including a reflective element(24), a folding mechanism (see figs. 4 and 5) providing for folding of the mirror in a forward direction to reduce the width of the vehicle, and an attaching sail portion(12).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2872

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boddy in view of Hoek('743).

Boddy does not teach the assembly to include a microphone or speaker. However, such features are common in mirror assemblies and an example is shown by Hoek, who discloses that such may be used in a vehicle mirror assembly (note the end of column 9). It would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to include either a microphone or speaker in Boddy's mirror assembly as taught by Hoek in order to allow for sound to be emitted from or received by the mirror assembly.

#### *Conclusion*

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bruni, Lin, and DeLine et al show sound devices incorporated into mirror assemblies. Crandall and Hoek('241) show mirror folding arrangements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3506.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can

Art Unit: 2872

be reached at (703) 308-1687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



Mark Robinson

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2872

7/24/01